

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/837,885	04/18/2001	Jun Liu	1941-76	1062
7590 11/17/2004 MARGER JOHNSON & McCOLLOM, P.C. 1030 S.W. Morrison Street			EXAMINER	
			CHANG, VICTOR S	
Portland, OR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1771	

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office A-4: C	09/837,885	LIU ET AL.	TH
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Victor S Chang	1771	
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply		rith the correspondence addre	9ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum staturent of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	GATION. 137 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rication. 138 days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt story period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this comm	nunication.
Status		,	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 29 Octobor 2001		
0 1 7 7 7 1	on <u>28 October 2004</u> . D) This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for	r allowance except for formal matt	rore proceedition as to the m	** *=
closed in accordance with the practice	under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	ers, prosecution as to the me to 11 453 O.G. 213	erits is
Disposition of Claims		. 11, 400 0.0. 210.	
4) Claim(s) <u>1-3,53,55 and 75-78</u> is/are pe 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	inding in the application.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	withdrawn from consideration.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,53,55 and 75-78</u> is/are rej	inated		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	ecicu.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection	ı∟ accepted or b)∟ objected to b	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	correction is required if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	.121(d).
	the Examiner, Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-1	52.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc		119(a)-(d) or (f).	
2. Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have been received in App	inlication No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	he priority documents have been r	plication No	
application from the International	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		.e
* See the attached detailed Office action fo	r a list of the certified copies not re	eceived.	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO 802)	_		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9) 	4) Interview Sun Paper No(s)/6	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO- Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

Introduction

- 1. The Examiner has carefully considered Applicants' amendments and remarks filed on 10/28/2004. Applicants' amendments to claims 1, 53, 75, cancellation of claims 4 and 79-92 have been entered.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Rejections not maintained are withdrawn.

Rejections Based on Prior Art

4. Claims 1-3, 53, 55 and 75-78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brinker et al. (US 5858457) in view of Cho et al. (US 5504042), generally as set forth in section 4 of Office action dated 7/29/2004, together with the following response to argument.

First, the Examiner repeats the relied upon prior art as follows: Brinker's invention is directed to a family of supported silica films with <u>pore size in the approximate range 0.8-20 nm</u>. Optically transparent, <u>100-500-nm (i.e., 0.1-0.5 μm)</u> thick films exhibiting a unique range of microstructures and uni-modal pore sizes are formed. Applications of the film include low dielectric constant interlayers, etc. (Abstract). In Example 5, the film <u>dielectric constant is determined to be 2.37</u> (column 9, line 29). Brinker lacks a teaching that the silica film has been dehydroxylated to

Application/Control Number: 09/837,885

Art Unit: 1771

improve its relative and absolute stabilities. However, it is noted that Cho's invention is directed to an improved porous structure with improved dielectric properties by dehydroxylate the pore surfaces (Abstract). This surface modification typically replaces reactive surface groups such as hydroxyls and alkoxyls with more stable surface groups such as methyl groups (column 4, lines 35-37).

It is noted that newly amended independent claim 1 now recites *inter alia* "having a disordered porosity, lacking a regular geometric arrangement of pores, and characterized by an absence of an x-ray diffraction peak in the range from 2-6 degrees 2-theta." Further, in independent claims 53 and 75, the limitation "x-ray diffraction peak between about 0.75 and about 2 degrees 2-theta or" is deleted from each claim.

With respect to Applicants' argument "Applicants have amended claim 1 to require that the disordered porosity be demonstrated by 'an absence of an x-ray diffraction peak in the range 2-6 degrees 2-theta.' This is not shown nor suggested by Brinker. Figure 8 clearly shows a peak between 2-3 degrees 2-theta. Therefore, there is not an absence of a peak between 2-6 degrees 2-theta." (Remarks, page 5, third paragraph), the Examiner notes while Fig. 8 shows a peak between 2-3 degrees 2-theta for a sample which was pre-conditioned at 60% RH and having a maximized order (column 4, lines 52-59), it should be noted that Fig. 8 also shows sample preconditioned at 25% RH (see trace symbol Δ), which clearly shows an absence of an x-ray diffraction peak in the range from 2-6 degrees 2-theta, and taught by Brinker as having poor order (i.e., disordered) (column 10, lines 15-29), Applicants argument to the contrary notwithstanding.

Application/Control Number: 09/837,885

Art Unit: 1771

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor S Chang whose telephone number is 571-272-1474. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel H Morris can be reached on 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1771

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Vsc

Victor S Chang Examiner Art Unit 1771

11/4/2004

TERREL MORRIS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700